

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
REGION 28**

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

and

**Cases 28-CA-298130
28-CA-300287**

**INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO, CLC**

**ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES, CONSOLIDATED
COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

Pursuant to Section 102.33 of the Rules and Regulations of the National Labor Relations Board (the Board), and to avoid unnecessary costs or delay, **IT IS ORDERED THAT** Cases 28-CA-298130 and 28-CA-300287, filed by International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO, CLC (the Union) against Arizona Public Service Company (Respondent) are consolidated.

This Order Consolidating Cases, Consolidated Complaint and Notice of Hearing, which is based on these charges, is issued pursuant to Section 10(b) of the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq. (the Act) and Section 102.15 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, and alleges Respondent has violated the Act as described below.

1. (a) The charge in Case 28-CA-298130 was filed by the Union on June 23, 2022, and a copy was served by U.S. mail on Respondent on the same date.

(b) The charge in Case 28-CA-300287 was filed by the Union on July 26, 2022, and a copy was served by U.S. mail on Respondent on July 28, 2022.

2. (a) At all material times, Respondent has been a corporation with an office and place of business at Tonopah, Arizona (Respondent's facility), and has been engaged in the purchase, production, transmission, and retail sale of electricity.

(b) In conducting its operations during the 12-month period ending June 23, 2022, Respondent purchased and received at Respondent's facility goods valued in excess \$50,000 directly from points outside the State of Arizona.

(c) In conducting its operations during the 12-month period ending June 23, 2022, Respondent derived gross revenues in excess of \$250,000.

(d) At all material times, Respondent has been an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6), and (7) of the Act.

3. At all material times, the Union has been a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

4. At all material times the following individuals held the positions set forth opposite their respective names and have been supervisors of Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Act and agents of Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(13) of the Act:

- | | |
|--------------------|---|
| Adam Heflin | - Chief Nuclear Officer |
| Maria Lacal | - Chief Nuclear Officer |
| Brian Cable | - General Plant Manager |
| Dru Barisich | - Leader Development Advisor |
| Michelle Gilchrist | - Human Resources Manager |
| Terrance Ellman | - Employee Concern Consultant, Safety Culture |
| Shasta Cronin | - Ethics Department |
| Paul Bury | - Director of Operations |
| Jerry Skrtich | - Department Leader |
| Scott Rapo | - Team Leader |
| Zachary Berryman | - Front Line Manager |
| Michael Heider | - Director of Maintenance |
| Leo Huizar | - Maintenance Department Leader |
| Pete Anderson | - Maintenance Department Leader |

Christopher Sympson - Maintenance Department Leader
Nicholas Voelpel - Nuclear Maintenance Team Leader

5. (a) Since about December 23, 2021, Respondent has maintained the following overly-broad and discriminatory rule in its Ethics Policy:

4. RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 All Company personnel, including Board of Directors

[. . .]

3.1.3 All Company personnel should participate fully in all investigations.

(b) About February 1, 2022, Respondent, by Pete Anderson, at Respondent's facility, by informing its employees that he would be watching to see who attended a virtual union meeting, created an impression among its employees that their union activities were under surveillance by Respondent.

(c) About February 18, 2022, Respondent by Christopher Sympson (Sympson), at Respondent's facility:

(1) threatened its employees with unspecified reprisals because they engaged in union activities; and

(2) promulgated and overly-broad and discriminatory directive prohibiting its employees from using Respondent's resources to engage in union activities.

(d) About February 28, 2022, Respondent by Sympson, at Respondent's facility:

(1) promulgated an overly-broad and discriminatory directive prohibiting its employees from posting their pay on their work desks; and

(2) threatened its employees with unspecified reprisals because they engaged in concerted activities.

(e) Sometime in March 2022, a more precise date being unknown to the General Counsel but well known to Respondent, Respondent, by Michael Heider (Heider), at Respondent's facility, by telling its employees that Respondent knew that talks had started regarding a union, created an impression among its employees that their union activities were under surveillance.

(f) On various dates in March 2022, including about March 22 and 25, 2022, Respondent, by Human Resource Manager Michelle Gilchrist (Gilchrist), Respondent's facility, compelled its employees to attend captive-audience meetings in which it addressed the employees about their choice concerning union representation, without providing assurances that attendance was voluntary, that the employees were free to leave at any time, that nonattendance would not result in reprisals, and that attendance would not result in rewards or benefits.

(g) About March 25, 2022, Respondent, by Gilchrist, at Respondent's facility:

(1) threatened its employees with loss of access to Respondent if they selected the Union as their bargaining representative; and

(2) threatened its employees with loss of benefits if they selected the Union as their bargaining representative.

(h) About March 24, 2022, Respondent, by Heider, at Respondent's facility, threatened its employees with the loss of the craftsmanship committee benefit if they selected the Union as their bargaining representative.

(i) About April 29, 2022, Respondent blocked employees' access to the Union's website.

(j) About June 25, 2022, Respondent, by Simpson, at Respondent's facility, threatened its employees by inviting them to quit their employment because they engaged in concerted activities.

(k) About June 25, 2022, Respondent, by Paul Burry, at Respondent's facility, granted its employees annual pay raises to dissuade them from engaging in union activities.

6. (a) About May 6, 2022, Respondent informed its employee Courtney Dumas (C. Dumas) that she could not work the same shift schedule with her husband, Forrest Dumas (F. Dumas).

(b) About May 13, 2022, Respondent informed its employee F. Dumas that he could not work the same shift schedule with his wife, C. Dumas.

(c) About June 21, 2022, Respondent changed the work schedule of its employee Courtney Dumas (C. Dumas).

(d) As a result of the conduct described above in paragraph 6(c), Respondent's employee C. Dumas:

- (1) worked more hours;
- (2) earned less money; and
- (3) earned less Paid Time Off.

(e) About July 15, 2022, by the conduct described above in paragraphs 6(a) and 6(c) through 6(d), Respondent caused the termination of its employee C. Dumas.

(f) From about June 21, 2022 to about July 15, 2022, Respondent imposed more onerous working conditions on its employee F. Dumas by making it more difficult for F. Dumas to get to work.

(g) Respondent engaged in the conduct described in paragraphs 6(a) and 6(c) through 6(e) because the named employee of Respondent assisted the Union and engaged in concerted activities, and to discourage employees from engaging in these activities.

(h) Respondent engaged in the conduct described in paragraphs 6(b) and 6(f) because the named employee of Respondent assisted the Union and engaged in concerted activities, and to discourage employees from engaging in these activities.

(i) Respondent engaged in the conduct described in paragraphs 6(b) and 6(f) because C. Dumas assisted the Union and engaged in concerted activities, and to discourage employees from engaging in these activities.

7. By the conduct described above in paragraph 5, Respondent has been interfering with, restraining, and coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed in Section 7 of the Act in violation of Section 8(a)(1) of the Act.

8. By the conduct described above in paragraph 6, Respondent has been discriminating in regard to the hire or tenure or terms and conditions of employment of its employees, thereby discouraging membership in a labor organization in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act.

9. The unfair labor practices of Respondent described above affect commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

The General Counsel seeks an order providing for all relief as may be just and proper to remedy the unfair labor practices alleged, including, but not limited to, requirements that Respondent:

(a) physically post and electronically distribute the Notice to Employees, including by internet, intranet, email, text message, posting on social media websites, and posting on internal apps; and

(b) make C. Dumas whole, including, but not limited to, by payment for any consequential economic harm she suffered as a result of her unlawful discharge.

The General Counsel further seeks all other relief as may be just and proper to remedy the unfair labor practices alleged.

ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Respondent is notified that, pursuant to Sections 102.20 and 102.21 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, it must file an answer to the consolidated complaint. The answer must be **received by this office on or before June 7, 2023, or postmarked on or before June 6, 2023.** Respondent should file an original and four copies of the answer with this office and serve a copy of the answer on each of the other parties.

An answer may also be filed electronically through the Agency's website. To file electronically, go to www.nlr.gov, click on **E-File Documents**, enter the NLRB Case Number, and follow the detailed instructions. The responsibility for the receipt and usability of the answer rests exclusively upon the sender. Unless notification on the Agency's website informs users

that the Agency's E-Filing system is officially determined to be in technical failure because it is unable to receive documents for a continuous period of more than 2 hours after 12:00 noon (Eastern Time) on the due date for filing, a failure to timely file the answer will not be excused on the basis that the transmission could not be accomplished because the Agency's website was off-line or unavailable for some other reason. The Board's Rules and Regulations require that an answer be signed by counsel or non-attorney representative for represented parties or by the party if not represented. See Section 102.21. If the answer being filed electronically is a pdf document containing the required signature, no paper copies of the answer need to be transmitted to the Regional Office. However, if the electronic version of an answer to a complaint is not a pdf file containing the required signature, then the E-filing rules require that such answer containing the required signature continue to be submitted to the Regional Office by traditional means within three (3) business days after the date of electronic filing. Service of the answer on each of the other parties must still be accomplished by means allowed under the Board's Rules and Regulations. The answer may not be filed by facsimile transmission. If no answer is filed, or if an answer is filed untimely, the Board may find, pursuant to a Motion for Default Judgment, that the allegations in the consolidated complaint are true.

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on **January 9, 2024**, at 9:00 a.m. (local time), and on consecutive days thereafter until concluded, at the Hearing Room, National Labor Relations Board, 2600 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400, Phoenix, Arizona, a hearing will be conducted before an administrative law judge of the National Labor Relations Board. At the hearing, Respondent and any other party to this proceeding have the right to appear and

present testimony regarding the allegations in this complaint. The procedures to be followed at the hearing are described in the attached Form NLRB-4668. The procedure to request a postponement of the hearing is described in the attached Form NLRB-4338.

Dated at Phoenix, Arizona, this 24th day of May, 2023.

/s/ Cornele A. Overstreet
Cornele A. Overstreet, Regional Director

Attachments

**UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
NOTICE**

Cases 28-CA-298130 and 28-CA-300287

The issuance of the notice of formal hearing in this case does not mean that the matter cannot be disposed of by agreement of the parties. On the contrary, it is the policy of this office to encourage voluntary adjustments. The examiner or attorney assigned to the case will be pleased to receive and to act promptly upon your suggestions or comments to this end.

An agreement between the parties, approved by the Regional Director, would serve to cancel the hearing. However, unless otherwise specifically ordered, the hearing will be held at the date, hour, and place indicated. Postponements ***will not be granted*** unless good and sufficient grounds are shown ***and*** the following requirements are met:

- (1) The request must be in writing. An original and two copies must be filed with the Regional Director when appropriate under 29 CFR 102.16(a) or with the Division of Judges when appropriate under 29 CFR 102.16(b).
- (2) Grounds must be set forth in ***detail***;
- (3) Alternative dates for any rescheduled hearing must be given;
- (4) The positions of all other parties must be ascertained in advance by the requesting party and set forth in the request; and
- (5) Copies must be simultaneously served on all other parties (listed below), and that fact must be noted on the request.

Except under the most extreme conditions, no request for postponement will be granted during the three days immediately preceding the date of hearing.

Thomas M. Stanek, Attorney at Law
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak
& Stewart, PC
Esplanade Center III
2415 East Camelback Road, Suite 800
Phoenix, AZ 85016

Michelle Gilcrest, Human Resource Manager
Arizona Public Service Company
400 North 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Elizabeth M. Soveranez, Attorney at Law
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak
& Stewart, PC
2111 East Highland Avenue, Suite B-250
Phoenix, AZ 85016

Craig Parkman, International Organizer
International Brotherhood of Electrical
Workers, AFL-CIO, CLC
8723 Indian Bluff
Converse, TX 78109

Procedures in NLRB Unfair Labor Practice Hearings

The attached complaint has scheduled a hearing that will be conducted by an administrative law judge (ALJ) of the National Labor Relations Board who will be an independent, impartial finder of facts and applicable law. **You may be represented at this hearing by an attorney or other representative.** If you are not currently represented by an attorney, and wish to have one represent you at the hearing, you should make such arrangements as soon as possible. A more complete description of the hearing process and the ALJ's role may be found at Sections 102.34, 102.35, and 102.45 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. The Board's Rules and regulations are available at the following link: www.nlr.gov/sites/default/files/attachments/basic-page/node-1717/rules_and_regs_part_102.pdf.

The NLRB allows you to file certain documents electronically and you are encouraged to do so because it ensures that your government resources are used efficiently. To e-file go to the NLRB's website at www.nlr.gov, click on "e-file documents," enter the 10-digit case number on the complaint (the first number if there is more than one), and follow the prompts. You will receive a confirmation number and an e-mail notification that the documents were successfully filed.

Although this matter is set for trial, this does not mean that this matter cannot be resolved through a settlement agreement. The NLRB recognizes that adjustments or settlements consistent with the policies of the National Labor Relations Act reduce government expenditures and promote amity in labor relations and encourages the parties to engage in settlement efforts.

I. BEFORE THE HEARING

The rules pertaining to the Board's pre-hearing procedures, including rules concerning filing an answer, requesting a postponement, filing other motions, and obtaining subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and production of documents from other parties, may be found at Sections 102.20 through 102.32 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. In addition, you should be aware of the following:

- **Special Needs:** If you or any of the witnesses you wish to have testify at the hearing have special needs and require auxiliary aids to participate in the hearing, you should notify the Regional Director as soon as possible and request the necessary assistance. Assistance will be provided to persons who have handicaps falling within the provisions of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, and 29 C.F.R. 100.603.
- **Pre-hearing Conference:** One or more weeks before the hearing, the ALJ may conduct a telephonic prehearing conference with the parties. During the conference, the ALJ will explore whether the case may be settled, discuss the issues to be litigated and any logistical issues related to the hearing, and attempt to resolve or narrow outstanding issues, such as disputes relating to subpoenaed witnesses and documents. This conference is usually not recorded, but during the hearing the ALJ or the parties sometimes refer to discussions at the pre-hearing conference. You do not have to wait until the prehearing conference to meet with the other parties to discuss settling this case or any other issues.

II. DURING THE HEARING

The rules pertaining to the Board's hearing procedures are found at Sections 102.34 through 102.43 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. Please note in particular the following:

- **Witnesses and Evidence:** At the hearing, you will have the right to call, examine, and cross-examine witnesses and to introduce into the record documents and other evidence.
- **Exhibits:** Each exhibit offered in evidence must be provided in duplicate to the court reporter and a copy of each of each exhibit should be supplied to the ALJ and each party when the exhibit is offered in evidence. If a copy of any exhibit is not available when the original is received, it will be the responsibility of the party offering such exhibit to submit the copy to the ALJ before the close of hearing.

If a copy is not submitted, and the filing has not been waived by the ALJ, any ruling receiving the exhibit may be rescinded and the exhibit rejected.

- **Transcripts:** An official court reporter will make the only official transcript of the proceedings, and all citations in briefs and arguments must refer to the official record. The Board will not certify any transcript other than the official transcript for use in any court litigation. Proposed corrections of the transcript should be submitted, either by way of stipulation or motion, to the ALJ for approval. Everything said at the hearing while the hearing is in session will be recorded by the official reporter unless the ALJ specifically directs off-the-record discussion. If any party wishes to make off-the-record statements, a request to go off the record should be directed to the ALJ.
- **Oral Argument:** You are entitled, on request, to a reasonable period of time at the close of the hearing for oral argument, which shall be included in the transcript of the hearing. Alternatively, the ALJ may ask for oral argument if, at the close of the hearing, if it is believed that such argument would be beneficial to the understanding of the contentions of the parties and the factual issues involved.
- **Date for Filing Post-Hearing Brief:** Before the hearing closes, you may request to file a written brief or proposed findings and conclusions, or both, with the ALJ. The ALJ has the discretion to grant this request and to will set a deadline for filing, up to 35 days.

III. AFTER THE HEARING

The Rules pertaining to filing post-hearing briefs and the procedures after the ALJ issues a decision are found at Sections 102.42 through 102.48 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. Please note in particular the following:

- **Extension of Time for Filing Brief with the ALJ:** If you need an extension of time to file a post-hearing brief, you must follow Section 102.42 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, which requires you to file a request with the appropriate chief or associate chief administrative law judge, depending on where the trial occurred. You must immediately serve a copy of any request for an extension of time on all other parties and furnish proof of that service with your request. You are encouraged to seek the agreement of the other parties and state their positions in your request.
- **ALJ's Decision:** In due course, the ALJ will prepare and file with the Board a decision in this matter. Upon receipt of this decision, the Board will enter an order transferring the case to the Board and specifying when exceptions are due to the ALJ's decision. The Board will serve copies of that order and the ALJ's decision on all parties.
- **Exceptions to the ALJ's Decision:** The procedure to be followed with respect to appealing all or any part of the ALJ's decision (by filing exceptions with the Board), submitting briefs, requests for oral argument before the Board, and related matters is set forth in the Board's Rules and Regulations, particularly in Section 102.46 and following sections. A summary of the more pertinent of these provisions will be provided to the parties with the order transferring the matter to the Board.